

FOR REFERENCE ONLY
Version from 2011 now
archived
Updated 2017 version of Coastal
Region Action
Plans available at:

fwcp.ca/region/coastal-region/



FISH AND WILDLIFE
COMPENSATION PROGRAM

PUNTLEDGE RIVER WATERSHED *RIPARIAN AND WETLANDS ACTION PLAN*

FINAL DRAFT The FWCP is a partnership of:

OCTOBER 2011

BChydro 
FOR GENERATIONS



Canada



Fisheries and Oceans
Canada

Pêches et Océans
Canada

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Overview context.....	4
2.1 Impacts and Threats	5
2.2 Limiting factors	6
2.3 Trends and Knowledge Status	7
Habitat Trends.....	7
Knowledge Gaps.....	7
3. Action Plan Objectives, Measures and Targets.....	8
3.1 Objective Setting	8
3.2 Objectives, Measures and Targets.....	9
4. Action Plan.....	12
4.1 Overview	12
4.2 components.....	12
5. References.....	15

Table of Figures and Tables

Figure 1: Relationship between the Riparian and Wetlands Action Plan and higher level planning and objectives.....	3
Figure 2. The Puntledge hydropower project.	4
Figure 3: Relationship between actions, sub-objectives and objectives in this Riparian and Wetlands Action Plan and the FWCP strategic objectives in the Puntledge River Watershed Plan.....	12
Table 1: Actions for wetlands and riparian areas in the Puntledge River Watershed	13

Puntledge River Riparian and Wetlands Action Plan

1. INTRODUCTION

The Fish and Wildlife Compensation Program (FWCP): Coastal Region evolved from its origin as the Bridge-Restoration Program (BCRP), a program initiated voluntarily by BC Hydro in 1999 to restore fish and wildlife resources that were adversely affected by the footprint of the development of hydroelectric facilities in the Bridge-Coastal generation area. Footprint impacts include historical effects on fish and wildlife that have occurred as a result of reservoir creation, watercourse diversions and the construction of dam structures.

In 2009, the program developed a strategic framework that guides overall planning for compensation investments (MacDonald, 2009). The framework has guided the development of strategic plans for each watershed within the FWCP program area, which are in turn informing action plans that focus on specific priorities within each watershed (Figure 1).

This Riparian and Wetlands Action Plan sets out priorities for the Fish and Wildlife Compensation Program to guide projects in the Puntledge River project area. The plan builds on the FWCP's strategic objectives and the Puntledge River Watershed Plan (FWCP, 2011). Action plans have also been developed for species of interest and salmonids; and some actions may be complementary across the different plans.

The actions and priorities outlined in this plan have been identified through a multi-stage process involving BC Hydro, Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO), Canadian Wildlife Service (CWS), Ministry of Environment (MOE), local First Nations, and local communities. Initial priorities were developed through consultation with agency staff. These priorities were then reviewed and discussed at a workshop¹ to allow First Nations, public stakeholders, and interested parties to comment and elaborate on the priorities.

It is important to understand, however, that planning priorities within action plans may not translate immediately into funded projects. Limited program funding requires that priority-setting has to also be developed across the program as a whole, not just within action plans. The process of selecting which actions will be implemented in any given year will occur during the annual implementation planning cycle.

¹ Courtney, B.C. (14 March, 2008)




Figure 1: Relationship between the Riparian and Wetlands Action Plan and higher level planning and objectives.

2. OVERVIEW CONTEXT

The Puntledge River basin lies on the eastern side of the Vancouver Island Mountain Range approximately midway along the length of the island (Figure 2). The basin is very rugged with mountain peaks rising to 2,134 m. It typically receives considerable snow pack and glaciers cover approximately 4 km² of the basin and are located above 1,310 m.



Figure 2. The Puntledge hydropower project.

The Puntledge River and the Cruikshank River feed Comox Lake from the SW, the latter contributing a mean annual inflow of 18 m³/sec of glacial melt. Most of the lake is surrounded by relatively steep terrain except for the northeast end where the terrain is relatively low and flat. The Puntledge system experiences a 'spill-over' effect from systems moving off the Pacific and heavy rains occur from October through March. The hydrology is predominantly dominated by spring snow

melt and fall and winter storms. The average precipitation in November is 300mm, but can be as high as 550mm.

The Puntledge hydroelectric facilities are in the asserted traditional use areas of the Comox First Nation, Sliammon First Nation, and Homalco First Nation. The main population centres are Courtney and Comox. The head waters of both the Puntledge and Cruikshank Rivers are in Strathcona Provincial Park.

Hydroelectric development includes a storage dam at the outlet of Comox Lake and a diversion dam downstream (**Figure 2**). Water is carried by an overland penstock to a powerhouse on the lower Puntledge River. The project was first developed in 1912. In 1953-56 the dams and powerhouse were redeveloped and Comox Lake storage was increased.

2.1 IMPACTS AND THREATS

Fish and Wildlife habitat and species have been significantly altered due to the construction of the dams, the development of hydro-power, and alterations in the hydraulic regimes of the systems. The following summary of the primary footprint impacts is derived from:

- Bridge-Coastal Restoration Program: Strategic Plan, Volume 2: Watershed Plans, Chapter 3: Puntledge River (December 2000);
- Puntledge River Water Use Plan Consultative Committee Report (December 2004); and
- Findings in the Community Workshop (Courtenay, B.C., March 14, 2008).

Hydro-related Impacts — The impacts that occurred are based on location in the watershed as follows:

Upstream of Comox Dam.

1. The dam flooded mainstem and tributary channels near the lake outlet.
2. Increased storage in Comox Lake flooded riparian areas and lake tributaries, and operations continue to negatively impact these areas.
3. The dam had no fish passage facilities for 10 years, which likely affected Chinook, coho and steelhead populations above the outlet of Comox Lake. There continue to be uncertainties regarding fish passage at the Comox Dam.

Downstream of Comox Dam to the Diversion Dam.

4. The headpond area flooded 90,000 m² of important spawning and rearing habitat for summer Chinook and summer steelhead in the headpond between Comox and Puntledge dams.

5. Entrainment of adults and out-migrating smolts into the diversion tunnel remains a key consideration for fish management decisions. Agencies closed the fishway to all anadromous passage upstream in 1965, until 1996 when steelhead were allowed access.

Diversion reach downstream of the Diversion Dam.

6. Water diversions and occasional spills alter habitat characteristics in this reach. The Puntledge Water Use Plan assessed the issues associated with operations, and operational procedures have been altered to offset some impacts.

Mainstem Puntledge downstream of the Powerhouse.

7. Reduced peak flows affect geomorphology of the lower river.

Non-Hydro Impacts — Other impacts on fish populations in the Puntledge River watershed include historic effects of logging, coal mining, flood protection, and urbanization.

2.2 LIMITING FACTORS

The limiting factors for wetland and riparian areas are predominantly related to extent of the available habitat, connectivity and distribution of the habitat, and its productivity.

1. Extent

The contribution of riparian and wetland habitats to broader ecological function is predominantly limited by the extent of the habitats on the land base. Habitats are lost through inundation and conversion to other land uses, and often detrimental fluctuations in water levels.

2. Distribution

Connectivity among riparian and wetland habitats, and between these habitats and other habitats and features, are important for dispersal of plants and animals and for seasonal movements of some species. Wetland and riparian habitats that are isolated will likely have decreased diversity to those which experience a healthy connectivity between areas. Distribution is therefore related not only to the extent of healthy riparian and wetland habitats, but also to adjacent land uses.

3. Productivity

Even where riparian and wetland habitats are adequately represented and connected, there are several factors that can affect their productivity:

- Hydrologic conditions such as water level variability and flow rates are among the most important variables driving riparian and wetland habitat

development, structure, functioning and persistence (National Research Council 2001). Hydrologic conditions also influence the extent and distribution of habitats. For example reduced peak flows in a river, due to regulation, result in succession to upland habitat types. Also, Extreme fluctuations both in timing and extend, such as in a drawdown zone of reservoirs, can reduce the ability of plant communities to be established and thus the establishment of wetlands.

- Stressors such as invasive species or disruptive human access can affect community structure and function.
- Loss of specific habitat features can affect life requisites of specific species, e.g., dense nesting cover for waterfowl, suitable tree cavities for nesting owls or waterfowl, loafing sites to turtles.
- Poorly understood factors limit the productivity of created wetlands. These are generally thought to be related to unnatural hydrologic regimes, soil conditions, and/or cattle grazing (e.g., Atkinson et al. 2010).

2.3 TRENDS AND KNOWLEDGE STATUS

HABITAT TRENDS

Basin-wide trends in the abundance, distribution and productivity of riparian and wetland habitats have not been compiled (other than direct BC Hydro footprint impacts). The area of inundation has not increased since dam construction, but the productivity of adjacent habitats has continued to be affected, either directly or indirectly as a result of BC Hydro operations. No riparian or wetland development has been undertaken by FWCP partners or other organisations in the region.

Significant changes to riparian and wetland habitat likely include:

- Unknown loss of habitat from conversion to other land uses or succession to different habitat types; and,
- Deterioration in productivity from hydrology changes and stressors such as invasive species.

Riparian and wetland habitat losses can be monitored via remote sensing, air photo interpretation or ground reconnaissance. Assessing deterioration in habitat productivity is more difficult.

KNOWLEDGE GAPS

There is limited knowledge regarding the abundance, location and productivity of either riparian areas or wetlands in the Puntledge River Area.

3. ACTION PLAN OBJECTIVES, MEASURES AND TARGETS

Clear and realistic management objectives are necessary to guide information acquisition and prioritize management actions. Priority actions and information needs will change as both improvements to the system are realized and information is gained. The current plan reflects the information available and values expressed by stakeholders (FWCP partners, First Nations and local communities) through reports, interviews and regional workshops held between 2009 and 2011.

3.1 OBJECTIVE SETTING

The following terminology is used in this report.

Objectives:	Objectives are high-level statements of desired future conditions (outcomes), consistent with FWCP partner mandates and policies.
Sub-objectives and Status Indicators:	Sub-objectives are detailed statements of desired future conditions within objectives, from which status indicators can be derived and alternative management actions evaluated. Sub-objectives and indicators provide the details necessary to translate policy into actions and to evaluate their consequences. They may be arranged hierarchically within objectives, and usually indicate conditions necessary to attain the objective to which they refer.
Measures:	Measures are specific metrics whose values indicate the degree to which desired future conditions have been achieved. They can be either qualitative or quantitative. There is a preference to develop the latter where possible for ease of monitoring.
Targets:	Targets are the values of measurable items that indicate the attainment of a desired condition. In the current context these may be expressed as a single value or as a range to acknowledge the inherent variability of ecosystems.
Actions:	Management actions, plans or policies for achieving the objectives.

Objectives are the “ends” or the outcomes we ultimately care about. Actions are the “means,” or the things we do to achieve them. This report focuses on describing the actions required to achieve the objectives in relation to riparian and wetland species and habitats. Complementary actions may also be identified in the separate Species of Interest Action Plan.

There are three general categories of riparian and wetland habitats defined for setting objectives:

Category 1 Natural riparian or wetland habitat:	Largely intact ecosystems with natural disturbances sufficient to maintain subclimax communities and processes characteristics of wetlands and riparian ecosystems.
Category 2 Disclimax or degraded wetland or riparian habitat, or creation of habitat	Formerly natural wetland or riparian ecosystems that have lost most or all of their natural disturbance regime and are no longer functioning effectively as wetland or riparian habitat. These areas are candidates for restoration or creation of wetlands.
Category 3 Restored or created riparian or wetland habitat:	Ecosystems resulting from water impoundments, diversions or other artificial disturbances that require active management to maintain productivity and function.

The categories contrast different levels of ecosystem function that require different management approaches. Note that there are no category 3 wetlands in the Puntledge Watershed system at this time.

3.2 OBJECTIVES, MEASURES AND TARGETS

There are two riparian and wetlands management objectives for the Puntledge River system as a whole.

Objective 1. Ensure productive and diverse wetland and riparian ecosystems.

Rationale — This objective addresses overall ecosystem integrity and directs compensation activities to develop productive, useable habitats. Riparian and wetland areas have been heavily impacted by the creation of dams, and continue to be severely degraded in the remaining areas. They may be the limiting factor for many species, including fish, which depend upon them, either for the majority of their lifecycles or for key periods such breeding. Riparian and wetland areas are the most diverse and biologically rich terrestrial ecosystems in BC and are considered as highly valuable from an ecological standpoint. They are often critical in terms of maintaining function and structure for natural system, including helping to support trophic level functioning, genetic diversity, as well as providing key ecological services such as erosion control, flood control and assimilation of nutrients.

To date, FWCP has not funded restoration of riparian areas or wetlands in the Puntledge River. However, these areas have a high restoration potential and would benefit from restoration activities.

This objective is supported by three sub-objectives:

Sub-objective 1: Secure remaining Category 1 riparian and wetland habitat.

Rationale — Wetland and riparian areas can be heavily impacted by conversion to other lands uses, such as agriculture development or forestry, amongst others. Securing remaining habitat to prevent loss is very important. Habitat is considered secure if it is protected from conversion to other land use, for example by purchasing the land or negotiating a covenant agreement.

As opportunities in the Puntledge system may be limited, consideration could also be given to secure off-site category 1 wetlands that would help compensate losses in the Puntledge system. In dollar terms and effort there may be more effective opportunities off-site to provide compensation for habitat losses.

Measure — Hectares (or percentage) of Category 1 riparian and wetland habitat secured or conserved over a 5 year period.

Targets — Specific targets will be developed as part of the action plan implementation as current areas are not known.

Sub-objective 2: Reduce threats to Category 1 riparian and wetland habitat.

Rationale — Wetlands and riparian areas are subject to a variety of threats both internally and externally. Many naturally functioning riparian and wetland habitats (Category 1) can benefit from management actions that reduce specific threats (e.g., treatment for invasive species, access control, forestry in adjacent areas etc.).

Measure — Hectares (or percentage) of Category 1 riparian and wetland habitat improved annually.

Targets — Specific targets will be developed as part of the action plan implementation as current areas are not known.

Sub-objective 3: Restore degraded or create new riparian and wetland habitat (Category 2).

Rationale — While conservation of existing high quality habitat is always preferable, category 1 habitat may be limited or the opportunities for conservation are difficult. Restoration opportunities may be more available in areas where changes in water regime have altered successional pathways in pre-existing riparian and wetland ecosystems. Typically the regime in managed watersheds becomes more stable. Riparian and wetland ecosystems require the disturbances caused by fluctuating water levels to maintain their productivity. When these disturbances are reduced or eliminated, riparian and wetland ecosystems transition to other ecosystem types. Projects can be designed to restore the

original ecological function of these areas, or to create new riparian or wetland habitats that differ from what was present historically, but still represent an improvement in function.

Measures — Hectares of riparian and wetland habitat that are restored or created over 5 year period.

Targets — Specific targets will be developed as part of the action plan implementation as current areas are not known.

Objective 2. Maintain or improve opportunities for sustainable use.

Rationale — Many wetland and riparian species are the focus of sustainable use activities by First Nations and non-first nations people. For example some riparian and wetland dependant species are hunted (e.g., elk) while bird and wildlife viewing is also a popular recreational use in the watershed. Consequently, any actions aimed at achieving the above objectives indirectly support this sustainable use objective. Although there are no direct actions aimed at improving sustainable use at this time, it is conceivable that projects aimed at generally improving opportunities for sustainable use activities could be identified by the program partners in the future.

Measures and Targets — There are no specific measures or targets required at this time aside from those associated with the above objectives.

As part of their overall management responsibilities, MOE periodically collects information regarding abundance trends, hunter reports, catch per unit effort (CPUE) and number of hunting licences sold in the region.

4. ACTION PLAN

4.1 OVERVIEW

The Action Plan has several individual actions which are presented in Section 4.2. Some actions support multiple sub-objectives, which in turn support multiple objectives. Figure 3 provides an overview of the link between actions and objectives.




Figure 3: Relationship between actions, sub-objectives and objectives in this Riparian and Wetlands Action Plan and the FWCP strategic objectives in the Puntledge River Watershed Plan.

4.2 COMPONENTS

This section presents the main actions identified for riparian and wetland areas in the Puntledge River system along with the supporting rationale for why the action is required and what it will achieve (Table 1 below). Currently, there is a dearth of information regarding the extent and distribution of wetlands and riparian areas, as well as the specific species which may utilise them in the Puntledge River watershed. Consequently, the initial focus of this action plan is solely on research and information acquisition. At such time as enough information is collected, the action plan should be revisited and updated with opportunities for habitat-based

actions, land securement and monitoring and evaluation. Also provided are priority ratings to guide investment planning efforts. Actions are assigned priorities from 1-3. Note that low priority actions are not included in the table.

Table 1: Actions for wetlands and riparian areas in the Puntledge River Watershed

#	Action	Rationale	Priority
Research and information acquisition			
1	Conduct mapping to determine abundance, distribution, and category of riparian and wetland habitat,	Riparian and wetland habitat is poorly mapped throughout the basin and developing a habitat map is necessary to establish targets for securement and compensation.	1
2	Identify opportunities to secure category 1 areas in the Puntledge River system.	Once Category 1 areas have been identified, coherent plans need to be made to secure them. Legal status of different lands must be known to determine appropriate actions for protection.	2
3	Identify opportunities to secure off-site category 1 areas.	There may be more cost effective opportunities off-site.	3
4	Determine threats and potential mitigation strategies to improve category 1 areas	There may be potential threats reducing category 1 area productivity	2
5	Identify opportunities for restoration or creation of category 2 areas.	The Puntledge system has been highly regulated and there is likely potential for restoration of degraded areas.	2
Habitat-based actions			
6	Implement riparian and wetland restoration projects that are identified as high priorities through inventory, mapping or assessment.	Primary target is Category 2 areas.	2

#	Action	Rationale	Priority
Land securement			
Monitoring and adaptive management			

ARCHIVED
Not for current use

5. REFERENCES

- Atkinson, R. B., J. E. Perry, G. B. Noe, W. L. Daniels, and J. Cairns, Jr. 2010. Primary productivity in 20-year old created wetlands in southwestern Virginia. *Wetlands* 30:200-210.
- BC Hydro. 2004. Puntledge River Project Water Use Plan. Revised for acceptance by the Comptroller of Water Rights. Available at:
http://www.bchydro.com/etc/medialib/internet/documents/environment/pdf/wup_puntledge_river_water_use_plan_pdf.Par.0001.File.wup_puntledge_river_water_use_plan.pdf.
- BC Hydro. 2004. Consultative Committee Report and draft Water Use Plan submitted to the Comptroller of Water Rights in December 2003. Executive Summary available at:
http://www.bchydro.com/etc/medialib/internet/documents/environment/pdf/wup_Puntledge_river_executive_summary_pdf.Par.0001.File.wup_Puntledge_river_executive_summary.pdf.
- Bridge-Coastal Restoration Program. 2000. Strategic Plan, Volume 2, Watershed Plans, Chapter 3: Puntledge River. Available at:
http://www.bchydro.com/bcrp/about/strategic_plan.html
- Bridge Coastal Restoration Program. 2008. Puntledge River workshop summary, Courtenay BC, March 14, 2008. Available at:
http://www.bchydro.com/bcrp/about/strategic_plan.html
- COSEWIC. 2000. COSEWIC assessment and update status report on the Morrison Creek lamprey *Lampetra richardsoni* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. vii + 14 pp.
(www.sararegistry.gc.ca/status/status_e.cfm).
- Fish and Wildlife Compensation Program. 2011. Puntledge River Watershed Plan. Available at:
http://www.bchydro.com/about/our_commitment/compensation_programs.html
- MacDonald, A. 2009. Fish & Wildlife Compensation Program: Executive Summary. Report for BC Hydro, Vancouver, BC.